

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/734,132	Applicant(s) CHO, YONG DEOK	
	Examiner John P. Trimmings	Art Unit 2138	

All Participants:

(1) John P. Trimmings.

(2) Justin Darrow.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 August 2006

Time: 10:00 am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

8, 10

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)


Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims 8 and 10 were agreed to be changed as follows:

As per claim 8:

The last line of the applicant's amended claim 8 is corrected to recite, "... the second strobe signal by delaying the first strobe signal."

As per claim 10:

The first line of the applicant's amended claim 10 is corrected to recite, "... wherein the reset signal generator comprises: ..." ..



ALBERT DECADY
SUPERVISORY
TECHNOLOGICAL
MINER